Dynamics of dissipative two–level systems in the stochastic approximation

L. Accardi[1], S.V. Kozyrev[2] and I.V. Volovich[3]

Abstract. The dynamics of the spin–boson Hamiltonian is considered in the stochastic approximation. The Hamiltonian describes a two–level system coupled to an environment and is widely used in physics, chemistry and the theory of quantum measurement.

We demonstrate that the method of the stochastic approximation which is a general method of consideration of dynamics of an arbitrary system interacting with environment is powerful enough to reproduce qualitatively striking results by Leggett at al. found earlier for this model. The result include an exact expression of the dynamics in terms of the spectral density and show an appearance of two most interesting regimes for the system, i.e. pure oscillating and pure damping ones. Correlators describing environment are also computed.

[1]Centro Vito Volterra, Universita di Roma Tor Vergata 00133, Italia, accardi@volterra.mat.utovrm.it

[2] Institute of Chemical Physics, Kossygina St.4,117334, Moscow, Russia, kozyrev@genesis.mian.su

[3] Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Gubkin St.8, 117966 Moscow, Russia,volovich@genesis.mi.ras.ru

1. Introduction

The so–called spin–boson Hamiltonian is widely used in physics and chemistry. In its simplest version it describes a dynamical model of a two–level system coupled to an environment. One of the basic ideas is that the environment induces dissipative effects, but as we shall see, the picture is much richer. Examples include the motion of defects in some crystalline solids, the motion of the magnetic flux trapped in an rf SQUID ring, some chemical reactions, some approaches to the theory of quantum measurement and many other quoted in the survey paper [1] to which the present work is inspired. The "spin–boson" Hamiltonian considered in the present paper is the same considered in [1], i.e.

$$H_\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}\,\Delta\sigma_x + \frac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon\sigma_z + \int dk\omega(k)a^+(k)a(k) + \lambda\sigma_z(A(g^*) + A^+(g)) \tag{1.1}$$

where σ_x and σ_z are Pauli matrixes, ε and Δ are real parameters interpreted respectively as the energy difference of the states localized in the two wells in absence of tunneling and as the matrix element for tunneling between the wells. We set $\Delta > 0$ and deno te

$$A^+(g) = \int a^+(k)g(k)dk\ , \qquad A(g^*) = \int a(k)g^*(k)dk$$

where $a(k)$, and $a^+(k)$ are bosonic annihilation and creation operators

$$[a(k), a^+(k')] = \delta(k - k')$$

which describe the environment.

We denote $\omega(k)$ the one–particle energy of the environment and assume $\omega(k) \geq 0$. The function $g(k)$ is a form factor describing the interaction of the system with the environment, λ is the coupling constant. It is well known that, in times of order t/λ^2, the interaction produces effects of order t. Thus λ provides a natural time scale for the observable effects of the interaction system–environment.

In the paper [1] it was found a very rich behavior of the dynamics of the Hamiltonian (1) ranging from undamped oscillations, to exponential relaxation, to power–law types of behavior and to total localization. Leggett et al. [1] found the remarkable result that main qualitative features of the system dynamics can be described in terms of the temperature (i.e. the initial state of the environment) and of the behavior, for low frequencies ω, of the spectral function

$$J(\omega) := \int dk|g(k)|^2\delta(\omega(k) - \omega) \tag{1.2}$$

The goal of this paper is to investigate the dynamics of the Hamiltonian (1) in the so called *stochastic approximation*. The overall qualitative picture emerging from this approach is similar to the one described in [1] and in some cases also the quantitative agreement is good (cf. Section [4]).

2. The stochastic approximation

The basic idea of the stochastic approximation is the following. If one has a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_\lambda = H_0 + \lambda V \tag{2.1}$$

then, by definition the stochastic limit of the evolution operator

$$U^{(\lambda)}(t) = e^{itH_0} e^{-itH_\lambda} \tag{2.2}$$

is the following limit (when it exists in the sense specified by (2.11) and (2.12) below):

$$U(t) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} U^{(\lambda)}\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}\right) \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that, on the right hand side of (2.3), there is not $U_t^{(\lambda)}$ but its rescaled version $U^{(\lambda)}(t/\lambda^2)$. Thus the limiting evolution operator $U(t)$ (2.3) describes the behavior of the model in the time scale described in the introduction. The stochastic approximation is a natural generalization of the Friedrichs-van Hove limit which uses the same time rescaling but allows only to compute vacuum expectation values of the form $\langle U^{(\lambda)}_{t/\lambda^2} \Lambda U^{(\lambda)*}_{t/\lambda^2}\rangle$ for particular classes of observables Λ. This leads to **irreversible evolution** and to the corresponding **master equation**. On the contrary, the stochastic approximation leads to **reversible, unitary evolution** and to the corresponding **quantum stochastic differential equation** from which the master equation are deduced by a now standard procedure which consists in *integrating away* the environment degrees of freedom.

The stochastic approximation to the original dynamics (2.2) consists in the computation of the limit (2.3) in the sense of matrix elements over some states ψ_λ (called "collective states") which themself depend on the parameter λ in a singular way. The fact that one cannot expect the limit (2.3) to exist for arbitrary states, but only for a carefully chosen class of states was already pointed out in the classical paper of van Hove [2]. The effective determination of this class of states was obtained in the paper [3].

The stochastic approximation could also be considered as a new kind of semiclassical approximation in the sense that it studies the *fluctuations* around the classical solution and not the approximation to it. This interpretation however shall not be discussed here (cf. [4]).

One of the important features of the stochastic method is its *universality*. The restriction to Pauli matrixes in (1.1) is unnecessary: the theory is applicable whenever the evolution operator $U^{(\lambda)}(t)$ (2.2) satisfies the equation

$$\frac{dU^{(\lambda)}(t)}{dt} = -i\lambda V(t) U^{(\lambda)}(t) \tag{2.4}$$

where $V(t) = e^{itH_0} V e^{-itH_0}$ has the form

$$V(t) = \sum_\alpha (D^+_\alpha \otimes A_\alpha(t) + D_\alpha \otimes A^+_\alpha(t)) \tag{2.5}$$

and the D_α are operators describing the system. The rescaled evolution operator $U^{(\lambda)}\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}\right)$, associated to (2.5), satisfies the equation

$$\frac{dU^{(\lambda)}\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}\right)}{dt} = -i\sum_\alpha \left(D_\alpha^+ \otimes \frac{1}{\lambda} A_\alpha\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}\right) + D_\alpha \otimes \frac{1}{\lambda} A_\alpha^+\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}\right)\right) U^{(\lambda)}(t/\lambda^2) \tag{2.7}$$

In the spin–boson Hamiltonian (1.1) the D_α are Pauli matrixes (cf. formulae (3.10b), (3.12b) (3.8)) and

$$A_\alpha(t) = \int a(k) e^{-it\omega_\alpha(k)} g^*(k) dk \tag{2.6a}$$

where the functions $\omega_\alpha(k)$ have the form

$$\omega_\alpha(k) = \omega(k) - \omega_\alpha \ ; \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \tag{2.6b}$$

here $\omega(k)$ is as in (1.1) and the ω_α are characteristic frequencies given by formula (3.12a).

¿From (2.7) it is clear that to have a nontrivial limit for $U^{(\lambda)}(t/\lambda^2)$, the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} A_\alpha\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}\right) = b_\alpha(t) \tag{2.8}$$

should exist. It can be proved (cf. [4]) that the limit (2.8) exists for "good" functions $\omega_\alpha(k)$ and $g(k)$ in the sense that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0} \langle \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{\alpha_1}^{\varepsilon_1}\left(\frac{t_1}{\lambda^2}\right) \dots \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{\alpha_n}^{\varepsilon_n}\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda^2}\right)\rangle = \langle b_{\alpha_1}^{\varepsilon_1}(t_1) \dots b_{\alpha n}^{\varepsilon_n}(t_n)\rangle \tag{2.9}$$

where the indices ε_i label the creators ($\varepsilon = 0$) and the annihilators ($\varepsilon = 1$); the brackets in (2.9) denote mean values over the Fock vacuum or a temperature state; and for each α, $b_\alpha(t)$ is the Fock Boson quantum field described by (2.14), (2.15) below. In the literature δ–correlated (in time) quantum fields are often called **quantum noises**. In the present paper only quantum white noises shall appear, but it is important to keep in mind that many other possibilities can arise from different physical models.

¿From (2.7) one has in the limit $\lambda \to 0$:

$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = -i\sum_\alpha (D_\alpha^+ \otimes b_\alpha(t) + D_\alpha \otimes b_\alpha^+(t)) U(t) \tag{2.10}$$

The limit (2.3) means that:

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0} \langle \Psi_\lambda, U^{(\lambda)}\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}\right) \Psi'_\lambda\rangle = \langle \psi, U(t)\psi'\rangle \tag{2.11}$$

where the collective vectors Ψ_λ are defined by

$$\Psi_\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{\alpha_1}^+\left(\frac{t_1}{\lambda^2}\right) \dots \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{\alpha_n}^+\left(\frac{t_n}{\lambda^2}\right) \Psi^{(0)} \ , \tag{2.12}$$

and converge to the corresponding n–particle vectors in the noise space, given by:

$$\psi = b^+_{\alpha_1}(t_1)\dots b^+_{\alpha_n}(t_n)\psi^{(0)} \tag{2.13}$$

$\Psi^{(0)}$ and $\psi^{(0)}$ are the vacuum vectors in the corresponding Fock spaces. If $\omega_\alpha \neq \omega_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$ (as it is the case for the Hamiltonian (1.1)) then b_α, b^+_α satisfy the following commutation relations

$$[b_\alpha(t), b^+_{\alpha'}(t')] = \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} J_\alpha \delta(t-t') \tag{2.14}$$

where J_α is the spectral function (1.2)

$$J_\alpha = 2\pi \int dk |g(k)|^2 \delta(\omega_\alpha(k)) \tag{2.15}$$

Thus, as announced in the introduction, in the stochastic limit the spectral function emerges naturally as the covariance of the quantum noise. Some care is needed in the interpretation of equation (2.10) because, as it is clear from (2.14) the $b_\alpha(t)$ are not *bona fide* operators but only operator valued distribution. In order to give a meaning to equation (2.10) (more precisely to its matrix elements in the n–particle or coherent vectors), we rewrite (2.10) in normal form by bringing $b_\alpha(t)$ to the right of $U(t)$. This gives rise to a commutator which can be explicitly computed. The result is:

$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = -i \sum_\alpha (D^+_\alpha U(t) b_\alpha(t) + D_\alpha b^+_\alpha(t) U(t) - i\gamma_\alpha D^+_\alpha D_\alpha U(t) \tag{2.16}$$

where γ_α are complex numbers given explicitly by:

$$\gamma_\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int dk e^{i\tau\omega_\alpha(k)} |g(k)|^2 \tag{2.17}$$

The connection between the constants γ_α in the last term in (2.16) (the Ito correction term), and the spectral function (2.15) is obtained by exchanging the $d\tau$–and the dk–integral in (2.17) and using the known formula

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{it\omega} dt = \pi\delta(\omega) - iP.P.\frac{1}{\omega}$$

where $P.P.$ denotes the principal part integral. This shows that *the spectral functions are the real parts of the constants γ_α, emerging in the Ito correction term.* This connection is the prototype of the *dispersion relations* widely used in quantum physics since its origins. Since the γ_α are complex, equation (2.16) *looks like* an equation driven by a non self–adjoint Hamiltonian. However this is only an apparent phenomenon due to the normal order. The true Hamiltonian (2.10), although singular, is formally self–adjoint and this gives an intuitive explanation of the unitarity of the solution of (2.16) or, equivalently, of (2.10).

The relations (2.14)–(2.17) define the stochastic approximation to the system (2.4), (2.5). The term **stochastic** is justified by the fact that the distribution equation (2.16)

which has a weak meaning in the n–particle vectors, can be interpreted as a quantum stochastic differential equation (and, in fact, it is in this form that this equation was first derived [3]). The operators $b_\alpha(t)$, $b^+_\alpha(t)$ are called a **quantum white noise** and the additional term in (2.16), arising in (2.16) from normal order is called the *drift* or the *Ito correction term*. More precisely, in quantum probability one usually writes (2.16) in the form

$$dU(t) = -i\sum_\alpha (D^+_\alpha dB_\alpha(t) + D_\alpha dB^+_\alpha(t) - i\gamma_\alpha D^+_\alpha D_\alpha dt)U(t) \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$dB_\alpha(t) = \int_t^{t+dt} b_\alpha(\tau)d\tau$$

are called stochastic differentials and satisfy the Ito table:

$$dB_t dB^+_t = 2\gamma dt \ ; \quad dt dB^+_t = dB_t dB_t = dB^+_t dB^+_t = dB^+_t dB_t = 0 \tag{2.19}$$

The proof of the Ito table (2.19), as well as its rigorous meaning, was first established in [5]. This has been subsequently applied to several models in quantum optics by [3] and [4]. Using it, the unitarity of the solution of (2.18) is easily established.

The advantage of equation (2.16) over the original one (2.4) is that it is in some sense completely integrable and one can easily read the physics from it. For example for the vacuum expectation value one has the equation:

$$\frac{d\langle U(t)\rangle}{dt} = -\sum_\alpha \gamma_\alpha D^+_\alpha D_\alpha \langle U(t)\rangle$$

which gives the damped oscillatory regime (the γ_α are complex number):

$$\langle U(t)\rangle = e^{-\Gamma t} \ , \quad \Gamma = \sum_\alpha \gamma_\alpha D^+_\alpha D_\alpha$$

In the following we shall apply this method to the Hamiltonian (1.1) and, in Section (4), we shall compare our result with those of [1], [6].

3. The stochastic approximation for the "spin–boson" system

In order to apply the stochastic approximation to the Hamiltonian (1.1), we write (1.1) in the form (2.1) where

$$H_0 = H_S + H_R \tag{3.1}$$

The system Hamiltonian H_S is

$$H_S = -\frac{1}{2}\,\Delta\sigma_x + \frac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon\sigma_z \tag{3.2}$$

and the reservoir Hamiltonian H_R is

$$H_R = \int dk\omega(k)a^+(k)a(k) \tag{3.3}$$

The evolution operator $U^{(\lambda)}(t)$ satisfies equation (2.4) where

$$V(t) = \sigma_z(t)(A(e^{-it\omega}g^*) + A^+(e^{it\omega}g)) \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\sigma_z(t) = e^{itH_S}\sigma_z e^{-itH_S} \tag{3.5}$$

To bring (3.4) to the form (2.5) let us compute (3.5). The eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (3.2) are

$$H_S|e_\pm >= \lambda_\pm|e_\pm > \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\lambda_\pm = \pm\frac{1}{2}\,\Delta\nu \tag{3.7}$$

$$|e_\pm >= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_\mp^2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mu_\mp \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\mu_\pm = \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta} \pm \nu\ , \quad \nu = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta}\right)^2} \tag{3.9}$$

Notice, for future use, that:

$$\langle e_\pm|\sigma_z|e_\pm\rangle = \frac{1-\mu_\mp^2}{1+\mu_\mp^2} \quad ; \qquad \langle e_+|\sigma_z|e_-\rangle = \langle e_-|\sigma_z|e_+\rangle = 1/\nu$$

Therefore

$$\sigma_z(t) = \frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}\,DD^+ + \frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2}\,D^+D + \nu^{-1}e^{it\nu\Delta}\,D + \nu^{-1}e^{-it\nu\Delta}D^+ \tag{3.10a}$$

where

$$D = |e_+ >< e_-| \tag{3.10b}$$

The interaction Hamiltonian (3.4) can now be written in the form (2.5):

$$V(t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} (D_\alpha^+ \otimes A(e^{-it\omega_\alpha} g^*) + h.c.) \tag{3.11}$$

where the three spectral frequencies correspond respectively to the down, zero, and up transitions of the 2–level system, i.e.

$$\omega_1(k) = \omega(k) - \nu\Delta \quad ; \qquad \omega_2(k) = \omega(k) \quad ; \qquad \omega_3(k) = \omega(k) + \nu\Delta \tag{3.12a}$$

$$D_1 = \nu^{-1} D^+ \quad ; \qquad D_2 = \frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}\, DD^+ + \frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2}\, D^+ D \quad ; \qquad D_3 = \nu^{-1} D^+ \tag{3.12b}$$

The corresponding limiting evolution equation therefore has the form (2.16). It is important to note however that the constants (2.15) for $\alpha = 2, 3$ vanish, i.e.

$$J_2 = J_3 = 0 \tag{3.13}$$

We shall see that the purely oscillatory regime, first discovered by Leggett et al. [1] corresponds to the case when also J_1 vanishes. In this sense it can be interpreted as an *off–resonance* regime. In this regime a strange (from the point of view of stochastic theory) new phenomenon take place: in t/λ^2–limit the environment disappears (i.e. the limit on the right hand side of (2.8) is zero, corresponding to a quantum white noise of zero variance). However a remnant of the interaction remains because, after the limit, the system evolves with a new hamiltonian, equal to the old one plus a shift term depending on the interaction and on the initial state of the field. This is a kind of *Cheshire Cat effect*.

(3.13) implies that the operator s b_2 and b_3 should be absent in (2.17). However the constants γ_2 and γ_3 as well as γ_1 do contribute to (2.16). We denote $b_1(t)$ by $b(t)$. Thus the operators $b(t)$, $b^+(t)$ satisfy

$$[b(t), b^+(t')] = \gamma\delta(t - t') \tag{3.17}$$

with γ given by (3.15) below and ν (in γ) given by (3.9). The limiting evolution equation can then be written:

$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = Db^+(t)U(t) - D^+U(t)b(t) - (\gamma + i\sigma)D^+DU(t) - i\varphi U(t) \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\gamma = \nu^{-2}\pi J(\nu\Delta)\ , \tag{3.15}$$

$$\sigma = \nu^{-2}(I(-\nu\Delta) - I(\nu\Delta)) + \left(\left(\frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2}\right)^2 \right) I(0)\ ,$$

$$\varphi = \nu^{-2} I(-\nu\Delta) + \left(\frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}\right)^2 I(0)$$

and we denote

$$J(\omega) = \int dk |g(k)|^2 \delta(\omega(k) - \omega) \quad ; \qquad I(\omega) = P.P. \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega' J(\omega')}{\omega' - \omega} \tag{3.16}$$

where $P.P.$ means the principal part of the integral.

In the notations of quantum stochastic equations (3.14) reads

$$dU(t) = (DdB_t^+ - D^+ dB_t - (\gamma + i\sigma) D^+ D - i\varphi) U(t) \tag{3.18}$$

Notice that all parameters γ, σ and φ in the evolution equation (3.14) are expressed in terms of the spectral density $J(\omega)$ (3.16) and parameters Δ and ε of the original Hamiltonian (1.1).

4. Analysis of the stochastic approximation. Zero temperature

Let us discuss now in more detail the implications of the results of the previous sections for the "spin–boson" Hamiltonian. All the information about the model is encoded into the constants γ, σ and φ and these constants are expressed in terms of the spectral density $J(\omega)$ (3.16) depending on the parameters of the Hamiltonian (ε and Δ) and the temperature (not yet introduced up to now). Thus the method of stochastic approximation confirms the conclusion of Leggett at al. [1] that the long–time behavior of the model is expressed i n terms of the spectral density $J(\omega)$.

Now let us discuss the dynamics of the system in the stochastic approximation. We are interested in a pure damping or pure oscillating behavior.

For the vacuum expectation value we have

$$\langle U(t) \rangle = e^{-i\varphi t} + e^{-i\varphi t}(e^{-(\gamma + i\sigma)t} - 1) D^+ D \tag{4.1}$$

and taking trace over the spin variables one gets (since $TrD^+D = 1$):

$$\langle trU(t) \rangle = e^{-[\gamma + i(\sigma + \varphi)]t} \tag{4.2}$$

Since γ, σ, and φ are real (cf. (3.14)–(3.16)), one has a purely oscillating behavior (4.2) if and only if there is no damping, i.e.

$$\gamma = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

However one cannot have a vanishing of oscillations, because the quantity

$$\sigma + \varphi = \nu^{-2} I(-\nu\Delta) + \left(\frac{1-\mu^2}{1+\mu^2}\right)^2 I(0) > 0 \tag{4.3}$$

is strictly positive for positive $J(\omega)$ (because ν, $\Delta > 0$, cf. (3.9)) and $I(\omega)$ is given by (3.16).

The stochastic approximation to the vacuum expectation value of the Heisenberg evolution of σ_z is given by

$$P(t) = \langle U^*(t)\sigma_z(t)U(t)\rangle \tag{4.4}$$

¿From equation (3.14) one gets the Langevin equation for $P(t)$ which solution is

$$P(t) = \nu^{-1}e^{-\gamma t}(D^+e^{i(\sigma-\nu\Delta)t} + De^{-i(\sigma-\nu\Delta)t})+$$

$$+D^+D\left(\frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2} - \frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}\right)e^{-2\gamma t} + \frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2} \tag{4.5}$$

Let us discuss separately the simplest case $\varepsilon = 0$.

The case $\varepsilon = 0$, zero temperature

In this case one has

$$P(t) = e^{-\gamma t}(D^+e^{i(\sigma-\Delta)t} + De^{-i(\sigma-\Delta)t}) \tag{4.6}$$

where γ, σ, and $I(\omega)$ are now

$$\gamma = \pi J(\Delta) \quad ; \qquad \sigma = I(-\Delta) - I(\Delta) \quad ; \qquad I(\omega) = P.P.\int\frac{d\omega' J(\omega')}{\omega'-\omega}$$

Two interesting regimes can now appear:

(i) *No oscillations*. In this case

$$\sigma - \Delta = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

Equation (4.7) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$\int\frac{dxJ(x)}{x+\Delta} - P.P.\ int\frac{dxJ(x)}{x-\Delta} = \Delta \tag{4.8}$$

If equation (4.8) is satisfied then we have pure damping:

$$P(t) = e^{-\gamma t}(D^+ + D) \tag{4.9}$$

We will discuss solutions of eq. (4.8) later.

Another regime is

(ii) *Pure oscillations*. This regime is defined by the condition

$$\gamma = \pi J(\Delta) = 0 \tag{4.10}$$

Notice that, because of (3.16) this condition defines an **off–resonance condition**.

If equation (4.10) satisfied, then

$$P(t)=D^{+}e^{i(\sigma-\Delta)t}+De^{-i(\sigma-\Delta)t} \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\sigma-\Delta=\int\frac{dxJ(x)}{x+\Delta}-P.P.\int\frac{dxJ(x)}{x-\Delta}-\Delta$$

This case of pure oscillations is very interesting. If there is a damping then after a rather short time $P(t)$ becomes a small quantity which is difficult to observe. The case of permanent oscillations looks more promising for observations. This regime is of primary interest in the context of the so–called macroscopic quantum coherence phenomenon [7].

The purely oscillatory regime was discovered in [1] but the region of parameters there is different from ours. To get pure oscillations we need the only off–resonance condition (4.10), i.e. in terms of the spectral density what we need is:

$$J(\Delta)=\int dk|g(k)|^{2}\delta(\omega(k)-\Delta)=0$$

The difference with [1] can be attributed to the different boundary conditions on correlators.

Let us present our results on the computation of the correlator

$$C(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\{U_t^{*}\sigma_zU_t,\sigma_z\}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left\{P(t),P(0)\right\}$$

We have

$$C(t)=\frac{1}{2}\,e^{-(\gamma+i\sigma+i\nu\Delta)t}\left(\nu^{-2}+\nu^{-1}D\left(\frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}+\frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2}\right)\right. \tag{4.12}$$

$$\left.+h.c.+\frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}\,(\nu^{-1}(D+D^{+})+\frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}\,DD^{+}+\frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2}\,D^{+}D\right)+$$

$$+e^{-2\gamma}\,\left(\frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2}-\frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}\right)(\nu^{-1}(D+D^{+})+2D^{+}D\frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2}\right)$$

The trace of $C(t)$ is

$$trC(t)=2\nu^{-2}e^{-\gamma t}\,\cos(\sigma+\nu\Delta)t+2e^{-2\gamma t}\left(\frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2}-\frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2}\right)\left(\frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2}\right)$$

The qualitative behavior of $C(t)$ is such as for $P(t)$.

Non–zero temperature

For a non–zero temperature we get a stochastic evolution equation of the same form as before (3.14) only with new constants γ, σ and φ. More precisely:

$$\gamma=\nu^{-2}\pi(J_{+}(\nu\Delta)+J_{-}(\nu\Delta))$$

$$\sigma = \left[\left(\frac{1-\mu_+^2}{1+\mu_+^2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{1-\mu_-^2}{1+\mu_-^2} \right)^2 \right] (I_+(0) + I_-(0)) +$$

$$+\nu^{-2}(I_+(-\nu\Delta) - I_+(\nu\Delta) + I_-(-\nu\Delta) - I_-(\nu\Delta))$$

where spectral densities are

$$J_+(\omega) = \frac{J(\omega)}{1-e^{-\beta\omega}} \qquad ; \qquad J_-(\omega) = \frac{J(\omega)e^{-\beta\omega}}{1-e^{-\beta\omega}}$$

Here $J(\omega)$ is the spectral density (3.16) and β is the inverse temperature.

The functions $I_\pm(\omega)$ are defined by

$$I_\pm(\omega) = P.P. \int \frac{d\omega' J_\pm(\omega')}{\omega' - \omega}$$

One has the same as for the zero–temperature expressions (4.15) and (4.12) for $P(t)$ and $C(t)$ but now with new constants γ and σ depending on temperature:

$$\gamma = \nu^{-2}\pi J(\nu\Delta) \coth \frac{\beta\nu\Delta}{2}$$

Conclusion

To conclude the following main result are obtained:

The theoretical role of the spectral function is explained through its emergence from a canonical limit procedure. Moreover this function is shown to be real part of a complex function whose imaginary part defines an energy shift in the system Hamiltonian. When the environment free energy depends only on the modulus of momentum ($\omega(k) = \omega(|k|)$ in (1.1)) the real and imaginary part of this function are related by a Hilbert transform, thus making a bridge with the standard *dispersion relations* (cf. Section (2.)).

In the stochastic approach not only the Heisenberg equation of the system observables is controlled, but also the environment evolution. It is shown that the environment converges to a *quantum noise* (a master field, in the particle physicists terminology). This gives a theoretical (i.e. based on a microscopic Hamiltonian description) foundation to the use of classical of quantum noises widely used in several contemporary approaches to quantum measurement theory [1], [7]. We can compute the limit matrix elements of Heisenberg evolution for arbitrary n–particle or coherent vectors. The vacuum matrix elements give rise to the master equation. The control of the other matrix elements is a new feature of the stochastic approach.

The purely oscillatory regime, discovered by Leggett et al. [1] is related here to a *Cheshire Cat effect* in which the environment variables vanish in the limit but the interaction leaves a track in the system behavior in the form of an operator shift in the system Hamiltonian (cf. Section (4.)).

Acknowledgments

S.K.and I.V. are grateful to the V.Volterra Center of the Rome University Tor Vergata where this work was done for the hospitality. S.K. is supported in part by the grant RFFI N 95-03-08838. I.V. is supported in part by the grant RFFI N 960100312.

Bibliography

1 A.J.Leggett, S.Chakravarty, A.T.Dorsey, M.P.A.Fisher, A.Garg and W.Zwerger, 1987, Rev.Mod.Phys.59, N1,pp.1-85.
2 L.Van Hove, 1955, Physica,21,617.
3 L.Accardi, A.Frigerio and Y.G.Lu, 1990, Comm.Math.Phys.131,537.
4 L.Accardi, Y.G.Lu and I.Volovich, Quantum Theory and Its Stochastic Limit, 1997, Oxford University Press (to be published)
5 R.L.Hudson and K.R.Parthasaraty, 1984, Comm.Math.Phys. 93,301.
6 A.O. Caldeira and A.J. Leggett, 1981, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 211.
7 A.J.Leggett and Anupam Garg, 1985, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 857.